Page 1 SUSTAINABLE COMPUTING AND OPTIMIZATION EDITED BY MUKHDEEP SINGH MANSHAHIA VALERIY KHARCHENKO ELIAS MUNAPO J. JOSHUA THOMAS PANDIAN VASANT Scrivener Publishing WILEY This edition first published 2022 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA and Scrivener Publishing LLC, 100 Cummings Center, Suite 541J, Beverly, MA 01915, USA © 2022 Scrivener Publishing LLC

For more information about Scrivener publications please visit www.scrivenerpublishing.com.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, except as permitted by law. Advice on how to obtain permission to reuse material from this title is available at http://www.wiley.com/go/permissions.

#### Wiley Global Headquarters

111 River Street, Hoboken, NJ 07030, USA

For details of our global editorial offices, customer services, and more information about Wiley products visit us at www. wiley.com.

#### Limit of Liability/Disclaimer of Warranty

While the publisher and authors have used their best efforts in preparing this work, they make no representations or warranties with respect to the accuracy or completeness of the contents of this work and specifically disclaim all warranties, including without limitation any implied warranties of merchant-ability or fitness for a particular purpose. No warranty may be created or extended by sales representatives, written sales materials, or promotional statements for this work. The fact that an organization, website, or product is referred to in this work as a citation and/or potential source of further information does not mean that the publisher and authors endorse the information or services the organization, website, or product may provide or recommendations it may make. This work is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering professional services. The advice and strategies contained herein may not be suitable for your situation. You should consult with a specialist where appropriate. Neither the publisher nor authors shall be liable for any loss of profit or any other commercial damages, including but not limited to special, incidental, consequential, or other damages. Further, readers should be aware that websites listed in this work may have changed or disappeared between when this work was written and when it is read.

### Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

#### ISBN 978-1-119-79182-9

Cover images: Pixabay.Com Cover design by Russell Richardson

Set in size of 11pt and Minion Pro by Manila Typesetting Company, Makati, Philippines

Printed in the USA

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Perf	ormance Analysis Between Affine Hull and Wavelet Fusion	78
Nara	yan Vetrekar, Aparajita Naik and R. S. Gad	
	Introduction	78
36.2	Literature Review	78
	36.2.1 Visible Spectrum	78
	36.2.2 Cross-Spectral	79
	36.2.3 Multispectral	79
36.3		79
36.4	Methodology	79
36.5	Experiments	79
36.6	Results and Discussion	79
	36.6.1 Observation I—Based on Affine Hull Method	79
	36.6.2 Observation II—Based on Wavelet Average Fusion	79
	36.6.3 Observation III—Comparison of Affine Hull	
	and Wavelet Average Fusion	79
36.7	Conclusions	79
	Acknowledgments	79
	References	79
37 Poly	p Detection Using Deep Neural Networks	80
Nan	cy Rani, Rupali Verma and Alka Jindal	
37.1	Introduction	80
37.2	Literature Survey	80
37.3	Proposed Methodology	80
	37.3.1 Dataset	80
	37.3.2 Data Pre-Processing	80
	37.3.3 Classification	80
	37.3.3.1 Concept of Transfer Learning and Fine Tuning	g 80
	37.3.3.2 VGG16 and VGG19 Model Architecture	80
	37.3.4 Polyp Detection	81
37.4		81
37.5	Conclusion and Future Work	81
	References	81

Chapter 36

## Gender Classification Using Multispectral Imaging: A Comparative Performance Analysis Between Affine Hull and Wavelet Fusion

Narayan Vetrekar X Aparajita Naik, R. S. Gad

Book Editor(s): Mukhdeep Singh Manshahia, Valeriy Kharchenko, Elias Munapo, J. Joshua Thomas, Pandian Vasant

First published: 11 February 2022 | https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119792642.ch36



# **Summary**

Gender classification which is a soft biometric trait has been shown significant attention in biometric literature especially using visible spectrum range. However, there is limited work focusing toward the near-infrared and multispectral imaging spectrum in this direction. Further, considering the potential of multispectral imaging for robust biometric performance, there is a need to understand the methods that can fully utilize the spectrum band information for performance analysis study between the Affine hull subspace learning method and wavelet average fusion method, from which we further learn the extracted features of Affine hull and wavelet fusion method independently using Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier. Toconduct this study, we have employed our multi-spectral facial database collected for 145 subjects in nine narrow spectrum bands amounting to 78,300 sample spectral band images. The extensive experimental results are carried out across six different illumination and using three different feature extraction methods for gender classification. To have a fair comparison, results are repeated for 10 different trials of random selection of the training and the testing samples for both the methods. The average classification obtained indicates the superiority of wavelet fusion method over Affine hull subspace learning method in successfully extracting the unique characteristic information from spectral bands for improved performance.