

Developments in Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology



Trends of Applied Microbiology for Sustainable Economy

Edited by
Ravindra Soni, Deep Chandra Suyal,
Ajar Nath Yadav, and Reeta Goel



TRENDS OF APPLIED MICROBIOLOGY FOR SUSTAINABLE ECONOMY

Developments in Applied
Microbiology and Biotechnology

TRENDS OF APPLIED MICROBIOLOGY FOR SUSTAINABLE ECONOMY

Edited by

RAVINDRA SONI

Department of Agricultural Microbiology, College of Agriculture,
Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

DEEP CHANDRA SUYAL

Department of Microbiology, Akal College of Basic Sciences, Eternal University,
Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh, India

AJAR NATH YADAV

Department of Biotechnology, Dr. Khem Singh Gill Akal College of Agriculture,
Eternal University, Baru Sahib, Sirmour, Himachal Pradesh, India

REETA GOEL

Department of Biotechnology, Institute of Applied Sciences and Humanities,
GLA University Mathura, Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India



ACADEMIC PRESS

An imprint of Elsevier

Academic Press is an imprint of Elsevier
125 London Wall, London EC2Y 5AS, United Kingdom
525 B Street, Suite 1650, San Diego, CA 92101, United States
50 Hampshire Street, 5th Floor, Cambridge, MA 02139, United States
The Boulevard, Langford Lane, Kidlington, Oxford OX5 1GB, United Kingdom

Copyright © 2022 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publisher. Details on how to seek permission, further information about the Publisher's permissions policies and our arrangements with organizations such as the Copyright Clearance Center and the Copyright Licensing Agency, can be found at our website: www.elsevier.com/permissions.

This book and the individual contributions contained in it are protected under copyright by the Publisher (other than as may be noted herein).

Notices

Knowledge and best practice in this field are constantly changing. As new research and experience broaden our understanding, changes in research methods, professional practices, or medical treatment may become necessary.

Practitioners and researchers must always rely on their own experience and knowledge in evaluating and using any information, methods, compounds, or experiments described herein. In using such information or methods they should be mindful of their own safety and the safety of others, including parties for whom they have a professional responsibility.

To the fullest extent of the law, neither the Publisher nor the authors, contributors, or editors, assume any liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property as a matter of products liability, negligence or otherwise, or from any use or operation of any methods, products, instructions, or ideas contained in the material herein.

ISBN 978-0-323-91595-3

For information on all Academic Press publications
visit our website at <https://www.elsevier.com/books-and-journals>

Publisher: Stacy Masucci
Acquisitions Editor: Linda Versteeg-Buschman
Editorial Project Manager: Franchezca A. Cabural
Production Project Manager: Omer Mukthar
Cover Designer: Matthew Limbert

Typeset by STRAIVE, India



Contents

Contributors	xix
Preface	xxvii
Chapter 1 Trends of agricultural microbiology for sustainable crops production and economy: An introduction	1
Tanvir Kaur, Divjot Kour, and Ajar Nath Yadav	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Role of beneficial microbiomes in plant growth promotion.	2
1.3 Microbiomes for mitigation of biotic and abiotic stresses.	15
1.4 Beneficial microbiomes for sustainable crop production and protection	23
1.5 Beneficial microbiomes in organic agriculture	27
1.6 Implication of beneficial microbes sustainable economic.	27
1.7 Conclusion	28
References	28
Chapter 2 Phytobiome research: Recent trends and developments	45
V.T. Anju, Madhu Dyavaiah, and Busi Siddhardha	
2.1 Introduction	45
2.2 Plant-associated microbiomes	46
2.3 Plant-microbial interactions	48
2.4 Functional roles of phytobiome for sustainable agriculture	50
2.5 Plant microbiome in the field of translation and commercialization	58
2.6 Conclusions	58
References	59

Chapter 3	An overview of microbial diversity under diverse ecological niches in northeast India	65
	Krishna Giri, Bhanushree Doley, Gaurav Mishra, Deep Chandra Suyal, Rupjyoti C. Baruah, and R.S.C. Jayaraj	
3.1	Introduction	65
3.2	Microbial diversity in different ecological niches	66
3.3	Northeast microbial database (NEMiD)	102
3.4	Conclusion and future prospects	102
	References	103
Chapter 4	Microbial consortium and crop improvement: Advantages and limitations	109
	Dibyajit Lahiri, Moupriya Nag, Sougata Ghosh, Ankita Dey, and Rina Rani Ray	
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Microbial consortia	110
4.3	Plant microbiota existing above the ground	111
4.4	Managed microbial consortia	111
4.5	Plant growth-promoting microorganisms	113
4.6	Microbial interactions within consortium	114
4.7	Stimulation of plant growth under stressed condition	116
4.8	Application of microbial consortium in agriculture	116
4.9	Conclusion and future scope	119
	References	119
Chapter 5	Revisiting soil-plant-microbes interactions: Key factors for soil health and productivity	125
	Subhadeep Mondal, Suman Kumar Halder, and Keshab Chandra Mondal	
5.1	Introduction	125
5.2	Important types of plant-microbes interactions	127

5.3	Major pathways of improved soil health and productivity attributed by different plant-microbe interactions	130
5.4	Biofertilizers	142
5.5	Outlook and conclusion	144
	References	145

**Chapter 6 Biological control of forest pathogens:
Success stories and challenges 155**
**Ratnaboli Bose, Aditi Saini, Nitika Bansal, M.S. Bhandari,
Amit Pandey, Pooja Joshi, and Shailesh Pandey**

6.1	Introduction	155
6.2	The importance of forest pathogens	156
6.3	Biological control: A brief exposition.	160
6.4	Success stories of biological control with special reference to <i>Heterobasidion annosum</i>	166
6.5	Challenges	169
6.6	Future directions	175
6.7	Conclusion	176
	References	177

**Chapter 7 Cold-tolerant and cold-loving microorganisms
and their applications 185**
**Gayan Abeysinghe, H.K.S. De Zoysa, T.C. Bamunuarachchige,
and Mohamed Cassim Mohamed Zakeel**

7.1	Introduction	185
7.2	Diversity of cold-tolerant mutants in cold ecosystems	186
7.3	Mechanisms of cold tolerance in microorganisms.	186
7.4	Aspects of cold-tolerant enzymes	191
7.5	Future prospects	200
	References	200

Chapter 8	Plant growth-promoting diazotrophs:	
	Current research and advancements	207
	Chanda Vikrant Berde, P. Veera Bramhachari, and	
	Vikrant Balkrishna Berde	
8.1	Introduction	207
8.2	Nitrogen fixation in diazotrophs.	208
8.3	Terrestrial nitrogen-fixing diazotrophs.	209
8.4	Nitrogen fixation in the ocean	210
8.5	Genomic and transcriptomics of diazotrophs.	212
8.6	Beneficial mechanisms other than N-fixation provided by diazotrophs	214
8.7	Application in global agriculture	215
8.8	Future challenges in agriculture: Application of diazotrophs to nonlegumes.	217
8.9	Conclusions and future perspectives.	218
	References	219
Chapter 9	Role of mycorrhizae in plant-parasitic nematodes	
	management.	225
	H.K. Patel, Y.K. Jhala, B.L. Raghunandan, and J.P. Solanki	
9.1	Introduction	225
9.2	Role of arbuscular mycorrhiza in the suppression of plant-parasitic nematodes	230
9.3	Interaction of arbuscular mycorrhiza with soil-borne nematodes associated with plants.	233
9.4	Mycorrhizal mode of action to manage plant-parasitic nematode	234
9.5	Mycorrhizal approaches for the management of plant pathogens.	240
9.6	Production and commercialization of AMF	243
9.7	Conclusion	246
	References	246

Chapter 10 Plant growth-promoting and biocontrol potency of rhizospheric bacteria associated with halophytes 253

**Kalpna D. Rakholiya, Mital J. Kaneria, Paragi R. Jadhav,
and Satya P. Singh**

10.1	Introduction	253
10.2	Plant-microbe interactions and mitigation of abiotic stress . .	253
10.3	Concluding remarks and future prospects	263
	Acknowledgments	263
	References	263

Chapter 11 Nanotechnology for plant growth promotion and stress management. 269

**Pooja Sharma, Ashutosh Shukla, Mamta Yadav, Anuj Kumar
Tiwari, Ravindra Soni, Sudhir Kumar Srivastava, and
Surendra Pratap Singh**

11.1	Introduction	269
11.2	Synthesis, absorption, and translocation of nanoparticles in plants	271
11.3	Nanoparticles in plant growth and stress tolerance.	273
11.4	Green nanoparticle	276
11.5	Genetic engineering in nanoparticle	276
11.6	Conclusion	278
	References	278

Chapter 12 Role of microbial biotechnology for strain improvement for agricultural sustainability 285

**Akhila Pole, Anisha Srivastava, Mohamed Cassim Mohamed
Zakeel, Vijay Kumar Sharma, Deep Chandra Suyal,
Anup Kumar Singh, and Ravindra Soni**

12.1	Introduction	285
12.2	Microbial inoculants in agriculture for sustainability.	287

12.3	Microbial biotechnology for sustainable agriculture	301
12.4	Advantages and limitation	306
12.5	Concluding remarks	307
	References	307

Chapter 13 Harnessing the potential of genetically improved bioinoculants for sustainable agriculture: Recent advances and perspectives. 319
Vinay Kumar, Anisha Srivastava, Lata Jain, Sorabh Chaudhary, Pankaj Kaushal, and Ravindra Soni

13.1	Introduction	319
13.2	Importance of manipulation of microbial bioinoculants	320
13.3	Genetic traits need to be manipulated for enhancing efficacy of microbes	322
13.4	Genetic engineering techniques used for genetic manipulation of microbes.	323
13.5	Genetic manipulations/improvement of bioinoculants for different traits with examples.	323
13.6	Conclusion	334
	References	334

Chapter 14 Omics technologies for agricultural microbiology research . . 343
Jagmohan Singh, Dinesh K. Saini, Ruchika Kashyap, Sandeep Kumar, Yuvraj Chopra, Karansher S. Sandhu, Mankanwal Goraya, and Rashmi Aggarwal

14.1	Introduction	343
14.2	Genomics	346
14.3	Transcriptomics	353
14.4	Proteomics	357
14.5	Metabolomics	366
14.6	Integrated omics	376
14.7	Conclusion	381
	References	381

Chapter 15 Plant growth-promoting microorganism-mediated abiotic stress resilience in crop plants	395
Sonth Bandeppa, Priyanka Chandra, Savitha Santosh, Saritha M, Seema Sangwan, and Samadhan Yuvraj Bagul	
15.1 Introduction	395
15.2 Diverse abiotic stress affecting plants	397
15.3 Microbe-mediated abiotic stress alleviation	400
15.4 Mechanisms of microbe-mediated abiotic stress tolerance	401
15.5 Conclusion	409
References	410
Chapter 16 Phosphate biofertilizers: Recent trends and new perspectives	421
Mohammad Saghir Khan, Asfa Rizvi, Bilal Ahmed, and Jintae Lee	
16.1 Introduction	421
16.2 Importance of P and rationale for using phosphate biofertilizers in agrosystems	423
16.3 Current status of phosphate biofertilizers	424
16.4 Development of phosphate biofertilizers: An overview	427
16.5 Overview of P solubilization mechanisms	432
16.6 Phosphate biofertilizers: Phyto-beneficial and eco-physiological perspective	434
16.7 Trends of phosphate biofertilizers use: A key for sustainable agriculture	437
16.8 Molecular engineering of phosphate biofertilizers	445
16.9 Challenges and future prospects of phosphate biofertilizers	447
References	447

Chapter 17	Plant-microbe interactions: Beneficial role of microbes for plant growth and soil health	463
	Raghu Shivappa, Mathew Seikholen Baite, Prabhukarthikeyan S. Rathinam, Keerthana Umapathy, Prajna Pati, Anisha Srivastava, and Ravindra Soni	
17.1	Introduction	463
17.2	Rhizobacteria	464
17.3	Ecological considerations for plant beneficial function of microbes in the field	465
17.4	Secondary metabolites in plant-microbe interaction	465
17.5	Microbial-plant defense genes involved in interaction	467
17.6	RNAi and CRISPR/Cas9 technology to explore plant-microbe interaction	470
	References	473
Chapter 18	Potash biofertilizers: Current development, formulation, and applications.	481
	Shiv Shanker Gautam, Manjul Gondwal, Ravindra Soni, and Bhanu Pratap Singh Gautam	
18.1	Introduction	481
18.2	Potash biofertilizers and potassium solubilizing microorganisms (KSMs)	483
18.3	Current development of potash biofertilizers	487
18.4	Formulation of potash biofertilizers.	490
18.5	Field applications and crop improvement.	493
18.6	Conclusions and future perspectives.	494
	References	494
Chapter 19	Phosphate-solubilizing microbial inoculants for sustainable agriculture	501
	Sonth Bandeppa, Kiran Kumar, P.C. Latha, P.G.S. Manjusha, Amol Phule, and C. Chandrakala	
19.1	Introduction	501

19.2	Status and availability of soil phosphorus	503
19.3	Importance of phosphate-solubilizing microorganism in agriculture	503
19.4	Diversity of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms.	505
19.5	Mechanisms of P solubilization by PSMs	505
19.6	Types of phosphate biofertilizers	508
19.7	Production, quality standards, evaluation, and marketing of phosphate biofertilizers	509
19.8	Plant growth-promoting activities of PSMs	513
19.9	Influence of PSMs on plant growth and yield.	513
19.10	Genetics of phosphate solubilization by PSMs.	513
19.11	Impact of application of phosphate biofertilizers on native soil microorganisms	516
19.12	Constraints in using phosphate biofertilizers	517
19.13	Future prospects	518
19.14	Conclusion	518
	References	519

Chapter 20 Trichoderma: Improving growth and tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses in plants 525

**Bahman Fazeli-Nasab, Laleh Shahraki-Mojahed, Ramin Piri,
and Ali Sobhanizadeh**

20.1	Introduction	525
20.2	Trichoderma	526
20.3	Role of <i>Trichoderma</i> in stimulating plant growth.	529
20.4	Role of <i>Trichoderma</i> in increasing germination indices.	532
20.5	Significance of seed germination and seedling establishment in seed production	534
20.6	Factors affecting germination.	535
20.7	Seedling establishment.	535
20.8	Effect of environmental stresses on seedling establishment.	535
20.9	Significance of regarding stresses of heavy metals.	536

20.10 Application of seed biological treatments (biopriming) in tolerance induction to environmental stresses.	537
20.11 Biopriming steps based on fungi microstructure	539
20.12 Effect of <i>Trichoderma</i> species on increasing growth and antioxidant activity	542
20.13 Role of <i>Trichoderma</i> in dealing with biological stresses (biotic).	544
20.14 Induction of plant resistance to nonbiological stresses (abiotic).	545
20.15 Biological mechanisms of <i>Trichoderma</i>	547
20.16 Colonization as interactions between <i>Trichoderma</i> and plant.	549
20.17 Conclusion	552
References	553

Chapter 21 Bacterial biofertilizers for bioremediation: A priority for future research 565

Asfa Rizvi, Bilal Ahmed, Shahid Umar, and Mohammad Saghir Khan

21.1 Introduction	565
21.2 Heavy metal contamination of agronomic soils: An overview	567
21.3 Bioremediation: Concepts and prospects	567
21.4 Biofertilizer technology in bioremediation	569
21.5 Biofertilizers—A general perspective.	584
21.6 Conclusion and future perspectives	594
Acknowledgment.	594
References	595

Chapter 22 Biopesticides: A key player in agro-environmental sustainability 613

**H. R Archana, K Darshan, M Amrutha Lakshmi, Thungri Ghoshal,
Bishnu Maya Bashayal, and Rashmi Aggarwal**

22.1 Introduction	613
22.2 What is sustainable environment and agriculture?	614

22.3	Why must chemical pesticides not be used?	616
22.4	What are biopesticides?	618
22.5	Sources and types of biopesticides	619
22.6	Mode of action of biopesticides on different pests/pathogens.	626
22.7	Role of biopesticides in sustainable environment and agricultural production	635
22.8	Market of biopesticides.	640
22.9	Future prospects and conclusion	642
	References	643

Chapter 23 Plant-pathogen interaction: Mechanisms and evolution 655

**U.M. Aruna Kumara, P.L.V.N. Cooray, N. Ambanpola,
and N. Thiruchchelvan**

23.1	Introduction	655
23.2	Plants	656
23.3	Plant pathogens.	657
23.4	Plant-pathogen interaction	659
23.5	Mechanisms involved in plant-pathogen interactions	661
23.6	Evolution of plant-pathogen interactions	675
23.7	Conclusions	678
	References	679

Chapter 24 Global biofertilizer market: Emerging trends and opportunities 689

Sanjay Kumar Joshi and Ajay Kumar Gauraha

24.1	Introduction: The market and opportunities	689
24.2	The market dynamics and growth drivers	690
24.3	Growth-share matrix.	691
24.4	Biofertilizer market Segmentation	692
24.5	The challenges.	692
24.6	Market ecosystem	693

24.7 Biofertilizers and Indian agriculture	694
24.8 Market potential and constraints	695
24.9 Impact of COVID-19 on biofertilizer market and the future . . .	696
References	697

Chapter 25 Organic agriculture for agro-environmental sustainability 699

**Neelam Thakur, Simranjeet Kaur, Tanvir Kaur, Preety Tomar,
Rubee Devi, Seema Thakur, Nidhi Tyagi, Rajesh Thakur,
Devinder Kumar Mehta, and Ajar Nath Yadav**

25.1 Introduction	699
25.2 Standards of organic agriculture	702
25.3 Status of organic agriculture	702
25.4 Pros and cons of organic agriculture	716
25.5 Some of the products used in organic farming	718
25.6 Impact of organic agriculture	722
25.7 Regulatory policies in organic agriculture	727
25.8 Market potential of organic produce	730
25.9 Conclusion and future prospects	731
References	731

Chapter 26 Contributing effects of vermicompost on soil health and farmers' socioeconomic sustainability 737

Pallabi Mishra and Debiprasad Dash

26.1 Introduction	737
26.2 Literature review	740
26.3 Effect of vermicompost on paddy-grown soil health	740
26.4 Role of vermicompost usage on farmers' socioeconomic sustainability	743
26.5 Methodology	747

26.6	Brief profile of the villages taken for the study.....	747
26.7	Results and discussion	747
26.8	Conclusion	754
	References	754
	Index.....	759

Plant growth-promoting diazotrophs: Current research and advancements

**Chanda Vikrant Berde^a, P. Veera Bramhachari^b,
and Vikrant Balkrishna Berde^c**

^aMarine Microbiology, School of Earth, Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, Goa University, Taleigao Plateau, Goa, India, ^bDepartment of Biotechnology, Krishna University, Machilipatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India, ^cDepartment of Zoology, Arts Commerce and Science College, Lanja, Maharashtra, India

8.1 Introduction

Increasing demands for food supply run parallel with increasing population. To meet the demands of increasing food production, it is necessary to increase the agricultural yields. Application of bio-fertilizers in the form of diverse nitrogen-fixing microorganisms called diazotrophs will ensure the optimization of agricultural yields. The overall increase of crop plant growth is achieved through plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). The PGPR covers microorganisms such as IAA (indole acetic acid) producers, phosphate solubilizers, potassium mobilizers, etc. Biological nitrogen fixation carried out by the diazotrophic microorganisms contributes to more than 60% of the fixed nitrogen on our planet. Thus, isolating very efficient nitrogen-fixing microorganisms, studying the mechanisms involved in nitrogen fixation, using these microorganisms as formulations for agricultural use for not only the leguminous but also the nonleguminous crops, will help in achieving success in increasing the crop yield (Singh, 2018). Research over the last few years has been handling various approaches to extend nitrogen fixation to crops other than legumes, develop inoculums with diazotrophs for nitrogen-deficient soils, and application of nonleguminous diazotrophs (Saumare et al., 2020). Those studies seek to illustrate diazotrophic agronomic value for the improvement of soil fertility and crop production as a nonpolluting, cost-effective method. The biological fixation of nitrogen produces about 200 million tonnes (Graham, 1992; Peoples et al., 2009).

With agricultural practices such as mixed cropping patterns, the nitrogen fixed in the soil during one crop can be efficiently utilized by the next crop. Thus, mixed cropping with leguminous and nonleguminous crops such as the soybean-wheat system, or the next season crops in crop rotation, can maximally utilize the fixed nitrogen (Fustec et al., 2010). This chapter focuses on the recent advances in the diazotrophic research apropos to terrestrial as well as diazotrophs from the ocean, the research focused on nitrogen-fixing genes, the enzymes and proteins involved as well as the efforts taken to apply the nitrogen-fixing ability of diazotrophs to nonleguminous plants.

8.2 Nitrogen fixation in diazotrophs

Atmospheric nitrogen fixation by diazotrophs is carried out by the enzyme nitrogenase, which catalyzes the conversion of nitrogen to ammonia (Hoffman et al., 2014; Einsle and Rees, 2020). All diazotrophic microorganisms harbor this enzyme. It is made up of two metalloprotein components, both of which play a role in ammonia formation. Component I, also called dinitrogenase, i.e., molybdo-ferro-protein (Mo-Fe-protein), is 2.2×10^5 Da protein, which reduces nitrogen as well as several substrates such as acetylene, protons, cyanide, isocyanide, and azide, as reported in nonphototrophic bacteria *R. rubrum* (Munson and Burris, 1969). Component II, also called dinitrogenase reductase, is an electron-transfer Fe protein. Catalysis requires a reduction source and Mg-ATP. In a catalytic loop of single-electron transfer and Mg-ATP hydrolysis, two member proteins associate and dissociate. The active substrate binding and reduction site, involving electron transfer from the Fe protein to FeMo-Co, is provided by an iron-molybdenum cofactor. Alternative nitrogenases of the form -V and -Fe, where Mo from FeMo-Co is substituted with V or Fe, were discovered as well. The extension of nitrogenase substrates to include CO and CO₂ has been reported (Seefeldt et al., 2020).

Many diazotrophs have another hydrogenase enzyme that is involved with the elimination of the hydrogen that is formed as nitrogen is fixed. Hydrogenases reuse H₂ for ATP synthesis and increase N₂ fixation speed (Johansson et al., 1983). Hydrogenase also has an oxygen sensitivity as nitrogenase enzyme and contains four iron and four molecule-labile sulfur atoms. Hence, the removal or utilization of hydrogen is important, to prevent the inactivation of the nitrogenase enzyme. In some diazotrophs like *Azotobacter vinelandii* and *A. chroococcum*, nitrogen fixation and hydrogen production may be carried out by the same enzyme complex. Hydrogen evolved is quickly removed from the cells by diffusion.

Nitrogenase enzyme is also inhibited by the higher concentration of ammonia. Hence, ammonia formed during nitrogen fixation needs

to be converted to organic nitrogen compounds, to protect the nitrogenase enzyme from getting inactivated. Aerobic diazotrophs, which lack special compartments for nitrogen fixation, demonstrate a high respiratory rate, which is an adaptation to prevent the oxygen from reaching the nitrogenase enzyme and inactivating it. In the case of cyanobacteria, reduction of nitrogen occurs in heterocysts, which are thick-walled cells (Haselkorn, 2003). The O₂-producing photo-system II, ribulose biphosphate carboxylase, is missing and the photosynthetic biliproteins may be lacking or diminished. In nonheterocystous cyanobacterial species such as *Lyngbya*, the nitrogen fixation occurs in cells in the center of the colony where oxygen penetration is less. In leguminous plants, nitrogen fixation takes place in root nodules that contain leghemoglobin to regulate oxygen tension.

8.3 Terrestrial nitrogen-fixing diazotrophs

The need to satisfy the growing demand for food productivity requires isolation and the efficiency of diazotrophs. The efficient relationship between diazotrophs and the host plant is a major prerequisite for nitrogen fixation, given the phylogenetic and ecological richness of diazotrophic bacteria and their hosts. Hye Jia et al. (2014) identified diazotrophs from 576 endophytic bacteria of leaves, stems, and roots, from 10 rice cultivars. Eighty-one percent of these isolates produced ammonia and were classified with the application of special *nif* gene primary set as the diazotrophic bacteria. Diazotroph species of *Bacillus*, *Penibacillus*, *Microbacteria*, and *Klebsiella* have been reported as belonging to the genes of *nifH*. This group focused on the ability to fix nitrogen and other properties of the diazotrophs, including the ability to produce auxins and siderophores, solubilize phosphate and induce fungal resistance in plants. Diazotrophic bacterial isolation from maize mucilage has been confirmed by Higdon et al. (2020a) with the potential to fix nitrogen and having other plant growth-promoting attributes. Three large groups of sequences referring to the nitrogen-fixing gene were included in the sequences of the isolates. The sequences were homologous to *nif* genes (*nifHDKENB*) in the Dos Santos model (Dos Santos et al., 2012; Higdon et al., 2020b). Half of the overall diazotrophic isolates revealed the *nifH* gene and 193 isolates among these were belonging to *Enterobacter*, *Klebsiella*, *Metakosakonia*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rahnella*, and *Raoultella* species (Higdon et al., 2020b).

Lateral transfer of chromosomal symbiosis islands was observed in species of the genus *Mesorhizobium*, bearing the ability to nodulate legumes like chickpeas (Laranjo et al., 2014). This trait helps in nodulating new hosts, which is beneficial for the development of bioinoculants with wider host ranges. Thus, understanding the mechanisms of

adaptation to new hosts and the symbiosis between the gene bearers and the host plant will enable more effective development of mesorhizobium bioinoculants as biofertilizers.

Recent studies by [Elhady et al. \(2020\)](#) focused on the effect of nodule size on nitrogen-fixing efficiency of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* and the host soybean crop. Smaller nodules were formed as a result of *P. penetrans* invasion of plant roots. It affected the nodule size as well as the number of bacteroids in the infested roots; however, the number of nodules was higher. Therefore, it can be seen that the successful establishment of the diazotroph in the host plant, and establishment of nitrogen-fixing mechanism in the host, is still affected by external factors, biotic as well as abiotic.

Numerous cyanobacteria contain pale and dense cells called heterocysts in *Anabaena*, *Nostoc*, and *Cylindrospermopsis* and are filamentous ([Ogawa and Carr, 1969](#); [Haselkorn, 2003](#); [Willis et al., 2015](#); [Aly and Andrews, 2016](#); [Zulkefli and Hwang, 2020](#)). These are the nitrogen fixation sites ([Haselkorn, 2003](#); [Videau et al., 2016](#)). In the absence of available combined nitrogen, heterocysts are formed, as ammonia prevents the differentiation of heterocysts as well as inhibits the nitrogenase enzyme. Nitrogen fixation takes place in in-house structured cells under reduced conditions; cyanobacteria such as *Lyngbya*, *Oscillatoria*, *Plectonomas*, and in bacterium do not produce heterocyst. The nitrogen fixation occurs in root nodules produced by *Rhizobium* (in leguminous plants) and *Frankia* (in nonleguminous plants). The lichens (a symbiotic structure created by cyanobacteria and fungi) are the site of nitrogen fixation in lower community of microorganisms.

Diazotrophism is seen in endophytic microorganisms, especially endophytic bacteria; these intracellular colonizers bring about nitrogen fixation in tissues of the plants and promote their growth. The endophytic diazotrophs can be exploited as biofertilizers for sustainable agriculture. A strain of *P. polymyxa* can colonize nonnative hosts and fix atmospheric nitrogen within, promoting plant growth. *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* is another well-studied endophytic diazotroph isolated from sugarcane. It has the nitrogen-fixing ability as well as additional plant growth-promoting traits ([Puri et al., 2017](#)). Diazotrophs imparting drought stress resistance to the plants such as strains of genus *Herbaspirillum* offer great hope for agriculture in drought-prone areas ([Aguiar et al., 2016](#)).

8.4 Nitrogen fixation in the ocean

Diazotrophs were isolated from the rhizosphere and soil in general, but during recent years, the focus is shifted to endophytic and marine diazotrophic microorganisms. The occurrence of

diazotrophs, both bacteria and archaea, has been detected in the Arctic Ocean and found to play a role in the conversion of atmospheric nitrogen to bioavailable ammonia in the marine ecosystem. Both symbiotic cyanobacterial nitrogen fixation and heterotrophic diazotrophs have been reported (von Friesen and Riemann, 2020). The research workers, however, point out some gaps such as the inability to sample larger regions and the nonavailability of enough quantitative data to come to specific conclusions. This calls for future and in-depth research on diazotroph distribution, composition, and their activity in pelagic and sea ice-associated environments of the Arctic Ocean.

Though previously, there were reports of studies being carried out measuring nitrogen fixation and denitrification at the seafloor and pelagic zone using stable isotope technique (Fan et al., 2015). The same group has also worked on the diversity, abundance, and activity of nitrogen-fixing and denitrifying microorganisms at three stations in the southern North Sea. Their genomic analysis studies indicated the presence of *nifH* genes in anaerobic sulfur/iron reducers and sulfate reducers. These results are concomitant with the reports of the discoveries of diazotrophic methanogenic archaea *Methanosarcina barkeri* by ^{15}N radiotracer technique (Murray and Zinder, 1984; Leigh Nitrogen, 2000) and *Methanococcus thermolithotrophicus* by acetylene reduction assay (ARA) technique (Belay et al., 1984; Leigh Nitrogen, 2000).

Noncyanobacterial diazotrophs or heterotrophic diazotrophs are distributed widely in marine waters, including the oxygenated zones; however, the mechanism of nitrogenase protection from oxygen is yet to be understood (Pedersen et al., 2018; Geisler et al., 2019). A major fraction of the aquatic biosphere such as eutrophic estuaries has high ambient nitrogen concentrations and oxidized aphotic water. The diazotrophs found in these zones are closely associated with bacterioplankton and are referred to as planktonic heterotrophic diazotrophs. The prime requirement for the colonization of the diazotrophs onto surfaces is the initial colonization by bacterioplankton (Pedersen et al., 2018). Putative diazotrophs appeared after 80 h of colonization initiation, after the plankton, following the colonization by bacterioplankton. The surfaces for the colonization of diazotrophs can be natural particles that act as the nitrogen fixation loci. The natural particles or aggregates comprise polysaccharides that offer a microenvironment with less oxygen for the activity of the nitrogenase enzyme. It was also pointed out by the authors that resuspension of sediment material can promote pelagic N_2 -fixation. Thus, these heterotrophic diazotrophs are responsible for the nitrogen fixation taking place in the ocean waters.

Work on diazotrophs associated with the particulate matter related to their high concentrations of nitrogen fixation rates and the existence of *nifH* genes indicates that they are extremely unique and specific. This relationship was shown for the first time recently with a direct staining approach (Geisler et al., 2019). Earlier such research was conducted mostly through indirect relations and various methodological especially statistical approaches. This new staining technique incorporates fluorescent tagging of active diazotrophs by nitrogenase immunolabeling and Alcian blue or concanavalin-A polysaccharide stain. Nucleic acid staining was used for the total bacteria. This approach provides nitrogen fixing frequencies, bacterial activity, and specific location of heterotrophic diazotrophs on artificial and natural aggregates (Geisler et al., 2019).

Diazotrophs in the marine environment contribute to nitrogen fixation, but these studies have been focusing only a certain hotspots. There is a dearth of knowledge of diazotrophic activities in the open oceans. The reasons are very less volumes being sampled, fewer sampling sites as compared to the vastness of the oceans, less frequency of sampling, and the practical difficulties of having a good geographic coverage. These difficulties have hampered the studies on diazotrophic diversity and distribution; hence, getting global nitrogen budget becomes a failure or is inaccurate. A solution for these inadequacies requires leveraging high spatiotemporal resolution measurements, and failure to employ these has come in the way of measurement methods according to Benavides and Robidart (2020). Increasing the spatiotemporal resolution of diazotroph activity and diversity will provide more accurate quantifications of nitrogen fluxes in ocean waters. A very recent study based on the application of combined values from two established acetylene-based assays was used to study the nitrogen cycling in coral reefs (El-Khaled et al., 2020). This method makes possible studying two processes, i.e., nitrogen fixation and denitrification, simultaneously by analyzing the gases formed during the processes. Gas chromatography is used for ethylene and nitrous oxide analyses formed during nitrogen fixation and denitrification, respectively.

8.5 Genomic and transcriptomics of diazotrophs

Mahmud et al. (2020) in their review have elaborated the need for research focusing on transferring nitrogen-fixing mechanisms to nonlegumes, with emphasis on molecular techniques. This in turn necessitates the importance of genome and proteomic/transcriptomic studies. The last two decades has seen a slow and assuring increase in

reports on work pertaining to these aspects of diazotrophic research. From diazotrophic rhizobial genome, numerous symbiotic genes (*nod* genes) encoding for nodulation, and nitrogen-fixing genes (*nif* genes), have been identified.

In symbiotic diazotrophs, especially *Rhizobium* sp., *nif* genes are located on a megaplasmid adjacent to *nod* genes. In the nonsymbiotic diazotrophs like cyanobacteria, these genes are localized on the chromosome. *Nif* genes comprises gene cluster of 24-Kb nucleotides, between the genes encoding for histidine (*his*) and shikimic acid (*shi A*). The cluster is organized in seven operons, i.e., transcription units (e.g., QB AL FM VSUX NE YKDH J). These operons transcribe for nitrogenase, Fe-protein, and Mo-Fe-protein. In nonsymbiotic diazotroph *Azospirillum* sp., m/HDK cluster megaplasmid and the sequence homologous to *nod* genes were reported (Acosta-Cruz et al., 2012). The presence of plasmids has also been described for other diazotrophs, including *Anabaena*, *Azotobacter*, and *Frankia* (Elmerich et al., 1987).

Diazotrophs also harbor hydrogen uptake (or *Hup*) genes that help in the removal of hydrogen formed during nitrogen fixation. The need for the removal of hydrogen is due to the reduced efficiency of nitrogenase in the presence of hydrogen. There are reports of genetically engineered diazotrophic strain by transferring the *Hup* genes of *R. leguminosarum* into *Rhizobium* strain (Lambert et al., 1985). This is the world's first report of interspecific transfer of *Hup* genes. The efficient transfer and expression of *Hup* genes has made it easier for the chick-pea-*Rhizobium* system to improve symbiotic energy efficiency. Transfer of nitrogen-fixing genes to nonleguminous plants is one of the strategies to overcome nitrogen deficiency and also to reduce the use of chemical fertilizers. The best way to improve nitrogen availability in crop plants would be to transfer the genes m/genes into chloroplasts; however, lack of chloroplast transferring techniques and protection of nitrogenase from O₂ evolved during photosynthesis are the drawbacks of the process that need to be addressed (Long, 1989; Bascónes et al., 2000).

According to Gaby and Buckley (2011), the diazotrophs are a poorly described group and many more diazotrophic strains and nitrogen-fixing genomes need to be discovered and studied. The authors have reported 16,989 *nifH* sequences so far. Other sequences include nitrogenase genes *nifD*, *nifK*, *nifE*, *nifN*, etc., which make up the total of 32,954 sequences in the database. This database allowed for a comparative study of the symbiotic systems designed to identify core genetic networks that shape the root nodule and to define strategies to transfer the nitrogen-fixing capability of nonlegume crops (López-Torrejón et al., 2016; Wardhani et al., 2019; Mahmud et al., 2020; van Heerwaarden et al., 2018). In a recent study, homologous coding sequences for the

acdS and *ipdC/ppdC* genes were identified for the diazotrophs grouped in Dos Santos Positive (DSP) (Higdon et al., 2020b). In the case of PQQ genes, approximately 28% of all isolates examined had homologous *pqqBCDE* sequences, whereas 12% had coding sequences equal to *pqqF* and 90% had *pqqDH* matches (Higdon et al., 2020a).

The simultaneous developments in transcriptomics of diazotrophs and the nitrogen-fixing mechanisms have opened up a new era in this field. Mergaert et al. (2003) reported the discovery of the NCR (module-specific cysteine-rich) peptides in nodules of *Medicago truncatula*. Using transcriptome analysis, it was found that these have a signal peptide with a conserved cysteine motif and 300 plus members have been discovered in galeoid legumes as well as other plants (Wojciechowski et al., 2004; Kondorosi et al., 2013; Pan and Wang, 2017; Kereszt et al., 2018). Further characterization of these NCR peptides has been reported by some workers (Kereszt et al., 2018; Lindstrom and Mousavi, 2019).

8.6 Beneficial mechanisms other than N-fixation provided by diazotrophs

Apart from fixing atmospheric nitrogen for the plants, the diazotrophs have been reported to have multiple other abilities that add to overall growth-promoting attributes. Phosphate solubilization helps in making inorganic phosphorus available, potassium mobilization provides essential potassium for plant growth and functions, the production of plant growth hormones like IAA benefits the plant root and shoot development, protection against plant diseases is provided by the production of secondary metabolites, etc. These are some of the additional properties observed and studied in diazotrophic microorganisms (Unpublished data). Genome mining showed that isolates of all diazotrophic groups possessed marker genes for multiple mechanisms of direct plant growth promotion (PGP). These findings reveal a potential to confer the targeted PGP traits to the host organism and also revealed phenotypic variation among isolates. Diazotrophs belonging to *Rhizobia*, *Bradyrhizobia*, *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, *Pseudomonas*, *Klebsiella*, and *Bacillus* genera that harbor the beneficial mechanisms in addition to nitrogen fixation, play a vital role in the overall growth and yield of crop plants.

There is a lacunae of information and research on the other beneficial properties present in diazotrophs forming legume nodules except for the knowledge about their nitrogen-fixing abilities. Table 8.1 summarizes some of the plant growth-promoting attributes reported in some diazotrophs in addition to nitrogen-fixing properties.

Table 8.1 Plant growth-promoting properties found in diazotrophs.

Properties	Diazotrophic microorganism	References
Acetic indole (IAA), hydrocyanic acid (HCN), antibiotics and/or mycolytic enzymes, organic acid, and siderophores	Rhizobia	Gopalakrishnan et al. (2015, 2018)
Inorganic phosphate solubilization, nitrogen fixation, IAA production	Mycorrhizae	Agnolucci et al. (2019)
ACC-deaminase activity and production of IAA, phosphorous solubilization	12 Diazotrophic strains, including <i>Sphingomonas azotifigens</i> (JN085438), <i>Pseudomonas putida</i> (JN222977), <i>Herbispirillum</i> sp. (JF990839)	Laskar et al. (2013)
IAA production, FePO ₄ solubilization, AlPO ₄ solubilization, siderophores production	91 Isolates belonging to the Proteobacteria phylum	Zuluaga et al. (2020)
Higher auxin-producing activity, high siderophore-producing activity, high phosphate-solubilizing activity	Two species of <i>Penibacillus</i> , three species of <i>Microbacterium</i> , three <i>Bacillus</i> species, and four species of <i>Klebsiella</i>	Hye Jia et al. (2014)

8.7 Application in global agriculture

A variety of diazotroph-based biofertilizers are available globally for agricultural applications. Table 8.2 summarizes the available diazotroph-containing biofertilizers used for different crop cultivars and the difference in yields obtained. Use of diazotrophic biofertilizers impacted the economy of several countries such as Brazil with an economic benefit in terms of N-fertilizer saving over USDA 2.5 billion per year (Bruno et al., 2003). Countries like Canada, Germany, the United Kingdom, Spain, Italy, France China, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, India, and the rest of Asia produce large numbers of biofertilizers that are based on nitrogen-fixing bacteria and contributing to economic growth making around USD 0.284–0.45 billion dollars (Swarnalakshmi et al., 2016). China holds more than 511 biofertilizer products and accounted for 43.2% of the biofertilizer market share for the Asia-Pacific region in 2017 (Market Data Forecast, 2018).

As a result of the availability of biofertilizers, the nitrogen fixed by crops is around 55–60 million tons per year (Vitousek et al., 2013; Figueiredo et al., 2013; Rao and Balachandar, 2017) and the highest contributor is *Bradyrhizobium* species found in the legumes of soybean as microsymbiont (Hungria and Mendes, 2015; Gyogluu et al., 2018). Application of the biological nitrogen-fixing diazotrophs along

Table 8.2 Commercially available diazotrophic biofertilizers and their impact on agricultural yields.

Commercial biofertilizer	Microorganism used	Country	Benefits	Plant cultivar	References
Diazotroph formulated with perlite-biochar carriers	<i>Rhizobium leguminosarum</i> bv. <i>phaseoli</i> LCS0306	Spain	Increased yield	Common bean	Pastor-Bueis et al. (2019)
Biofix and Legumefix	Rhizobia inoculants	Ghana	Grain yield (12%–19%)	Soybean and cowpea	Ulzen et al. (2016)
Sympal and Legumefix	Rhizobia inoculants	Zambia	Rise in yields from 2000 to 4000 kg/ha	Soybean	Thuita et al. (2018) , Mathenge et al. (2019)
Nitragin	Root-associated bacteria	Germany	Three- to fourfold increase in yield	Soybean	William and Akiko (2020)
Maize seeds coated with <i>Azospirillum</i>	<i>Azospirillum</i>	Mexico, Argentina	Yield increase	Maize	Reis (2007)
Microbin and Azottein	Phosphate solubilizing and nitrogen fixing	Egypt	Grain yield increased	Barley	El-Sayed et al. (2000)
BioGro	BNF	Southeast Asia	Replaced 23%–52% of N chemical fertilizers without the loss of yield	Rice	Rose et al. (2014)
—	Rhizobia	Northern Nigeria	Yield increase by 447 kg/ha	Soybean	Ronner et al. (2016)
Biofertilizer	<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> , <i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> , and <i>Trichoderma lignorum</i>		Contributed to 60% of the nitrogen	Sugarcane	Serna-Cock et al. (2011)
Biofertilizer	<i>Herbaspirillum seropedicae</i> , <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp., and <i>Bacillus megaterium</i>		Yield increase from 18% to 57.31%	Sugarcane	Antunes et al. (2019)

with vermicompost and other soil conditioners has been observed to further improve the soils, especially poor alkaline soils (Mathenge et al., 2019).

8.8 Future challenges in agriculture: Application of diazotrophs to nonlegumes

Research into the genetics of diazotrophic microorganisms has led to the transfer of the benefits of nitrogen fixation to nonleguminous plants such as wheat, rice, sorghum, or maize. One way of achieving this is by inducing symbiosis between the diazotrophs and the non-nitrogen-fixing plants, resulting in the development of root nodules (Mus et al., 2016; Burén and Rubio, 2018). The plant needs to produce and secrete nodulation signals for the initiation of nodulation by the diazotroph. Another approach is to introduce the nitrogen-fixing genes in the nonleguminous plant itself (Dent and Cocking, 2017; Vicente and Dean, 2017; Burén et al., 2018).

The difficulty of this biosynthesis and the enzyme's oxygen sensitivity are a major challenge for this strategy to be implemented. It is also uncertain if the power and energy required to support nitrogenase catalysis can be provided by the cereal host (van Velzen et al., 2018). There are reports of the successful transfer of *nif* genes to nondiazotrophs such as *E. coli*, *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, *Paenibacillus* sp., tobacco plants (Dixon and Postgate, 1972; Han et al., 2015; Oldroyd and Dixon, 2014; Burén et al. 2017; Burén and Rubio, 2018), as well as research carried out on the nonlegumes, *Parasponi* legumes, and Actinorrhizae, which shows that such a transfer of genes leading to acquiring nitrogen-fixing ability is possible. Significant developments in developing strategies in this regard were reported mostly in transgenic plants, yeast, etc. Ivleva et al. (2016) reported the integration of *nifH* and *nifM* genes into the tobacco chloroplast genome, which could encode active Fe protein of nitrogenase in the transgenic plant. Burén and Rubio (2018) described the transfer of *nif* cassette of nine genes in transgenic yeasts (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*), which is sufficient for nitrogen fixation. Another report shows that the transfer of the nitrogenase gene to mitochondria and root plastids in eukaryotes can result in nitrogenase expression under a low-oxygen environment (Wardhani et al., 2019; Ivleva et al., 2016).

According to Postgate (1992), the production of a “diazoplast” in plants would allow plants to resolve their genetic and physiological bacterial dependency for nitrogen fixation. The new organelle has diazotrophic properties incorporated in chloroplasts. By adding an endosymbiotic prokaryote to the plant's genome, diazoplast could also be obtained similarly to a chloroplast. Research on the establishment

of *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* in root meristem cells indicate that symbiosomal vesicular cytoplasmic compartments are possible locations for diazoplast formation.

A third approach can be making use of the endophytic microbial community that is associated with the plant system, which will enable aerobic nitrogen fixation by microsymbionts, for improved plant growth (Kennedy and Islam, 2001). For example, Yonebayashi et al. (2014) have reported the association of endophyte and tumorous growth on sweet potato. Oliveira et al. (2003) have studied the effect of diazotrophic endophytic inoculants on sugarcane growth. Similarly, the nonsymbiotic diazotrophs also contribute significantly to nitrogen fixation as pointed out by the work done by Pankievicz et al. (2015) and van Deynze et al. (2018).

Nitrogen fixation efficacy and plant growth benefits in rhizobia-legume symbiosis have been successfully demonstrated for *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* (Dent and Cocking, 2017). The development of nitrogen-fixing endophytic bioinoculants that can be useful to all staple food crops and an apt replacement for chemical nitrogen fertilizers, having yield benefits, is significant progress leading to Greener Nitrogen Revolution (Dent and Cocking, 2017).

8.9 Conclusions and future perspectives

The extensive application of synthetic fertilizers to meet the increasing nitrogen demand of agriculture has been seen in the last decades. This has ultimately led to environmental pollution especially due to the runoff of excess nitrates and soils losing fertility. The increasing concern over the deteriorating environment necessitates lowering the dependence on chemical fertilizers. Scientists are investigating bio-inoculants, in particular diazotrophic bioinoculants, in different dimensions to enhance legume and nonlegume plant growth. This is crucial for the future of sustainable farming, in an effort to guarantee food security and also to reduce air-polluting emissions from chemical fertilizers. Research dimensions being targeted include diazotrophs for nonlegumes, endophytic diazotrophs as bioinoculants, transferring *nif* genes to nondiazotrophs as well as crops and organelles of plants, etc. Future in situ studies are needed to establish the identity, activity, and ecology of particle-associated noncyanobacterial diazotrophs (NCDs) and also focus future research on diazotrophs of the aquatic system as well as environments in which diazotrophic research lacks or has been overlooked. The PGP properties of diazotrophs offer promise as effective bioinoculants of the future. By appropriate application of the naturally occurring endophytic diazotrophs, biological nitrogen fixation for cereals and other nonlegumes can be achieved.

References

- Acosta-Cruz, E., Wisniewski-Dyé, F., Rouy, Z., Barbe, V., Valdés, M., Mavingui, P., 2012. Insights into the 1.59-Mbp largest plasmid of *Azospirillum brasilense* CBG497. *Arch. Microbiol.* 194 (9), 725–736.
- Agnolucci, M., Avio, L., Pepe, A., Turrini, A., Cristani, C., Bonini, P., Cirino, V., Colosimo, F., Ruzzi, M., Giovannetti, M., 2019. Bacteria associated with a commercial mycorrhizal inoculum: community composition and multifunctional activity as assessed by Illumina sequencing and culture-dependent tools. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 1956.
- Aguiar, N.O., Medici, L.O., Olivares, F.L., Dobbss, L.B., Torres-Netto, A., Silva, S.F., Novotny, E.H., Canellas, L.P., 2016. Metabolic profile and antioxidant responses during drought stress recovery in sugarcane treated with humic acids and endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. *Ann. Appl. Biol.* 168, 203–213.
- Aly, W., Andrews, S., 2016. Iron regulation of growth and heterocyst formation in the nitrogen fixing cyanobacterium *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120. *J. Ecol. Health Environ.* 4, 103–109.
- Antunes, J.E.L., De Freitas, A.D.S., Oliveira, L.M.S., De Lyra, M.D.C.C.P., Fonseca, M.A.C., Santos, C.E.R.S., Oliveira, J.P., De Araújo, A.S.F., Figueiredo, M.V.B., 2019. Sugarcane inoculated with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria: effects on yield, biological nitrogen fixation and industrial characteristics. *An. Acad. Bras. Cienc.* 91, e20180990.
- Báscones, E., Imperial, J., Ruiz-Argueso, T., Palacios, J.M., 2000. Generation of new hydrogen recycling *Rhizobiaceae* strains by introduction of a novel *hup* minitransposon. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 4292–4299.
- Belay, N., Sparling, R., Daniels, L., 1984. Dinitrogen fixation by a thermophilic methanogenic bacterium. *Nature* 312, 286–288.
- Benavides, M., Robidart, J., 2020. Bridging the spatiotemporal gap in diazotroph activity and diversity with high-resolution measurements. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7, 568876.
- Bruno, J.R.A., Boddey, R.M., Urquiaga, S., 2003. The success of BNF in soybean in Brazil. *Plant Soil* 252, 1–9.
- Burén, S., Rubio, L.M., 2018. State of the art in eukaryotic nitrogenase engineering. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 365, fnx274.
- Burén, S., Young, E.M., Sweeny, E.A., Lopez-Torrejón, G., Veldhuizen, M., Voigt, C.A., Rubio, L.M., 2017. Formation of nitrogenase *nifDK* tetramers in the mitochondria of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *ACS Synth. Biol.* 6, 1043–1055.
- Burén, S., López-Torrejón, G., Rubio, L.M., 2018. Extreme bioengineering to meet the nitrogen challenge. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 12247.
- Dent, D., Cocking, E.C., 2017. Establishing symbiotic nitrogen fixation in cereals and other non-legume crops: the Greener Nitrogen Revolution. *Agric. Food Secur.* 6, 7.
- Dixon, R.A., Postgate, J.R., 1972. Genetic transfer of nitrogen fixation from *Klebsiella pneumoniae* to *Escherichia coli*. *Nature* 237, 102–103.
- Dos Santos, P.C., Fang, Z., Mason, S.W., Setubal, J.C., Dixon, R., 2012. Distribution of nitrogen fixation and nitrogenase-like sequences amongst microbial genomes. *BMC Genomics* 13 (1), 162.
- Einsle, O., Rees, D.C., 2020. Structural enzymology of nitrogenase enzymes. *Chem. Rev.* 120, 4969–5004.
- Elhady, A., Hallmann, J., Heuer, H., 2020. Symbiosis of soybean with nitrogen fixing bacteria affected by root lesion nematodes in a density dependent manner. *Sci. Rep.* 10, 1619–1621.
- El-Khaled, Y.C., Roth, F., Rädecker, N., Kharbatia, N., Jones, B.H., Voolstra, C.R., Wild, C., 2020. Simultaneous measurements of dinitrogen fixation and denitrification associated with coral reef substrates: advantages and limitations of a combined acetylene assay. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 7, 411.
- Elmerich, C., Bozouklian, H., Vieille, C., Fogher, C., Perroud, B., Perrin, A., Vanderleydens, J., 1987. *Azospirillum*: genetics of nitrogen fixation and interaction with plants. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 317, 183–192.

- El-Sayed, A.A., Elenein, R.A., Shalaby, E.E., Shalan, M.A., Said, M.A., 2000. Response of barley to biofertilizer with N and P application under newly reclaimed areas in Egypt. In: Proceedings of the 3rd International Crop Science Congress (ICSC), Hamburg, Germany, pp. 17–22.
- Fan, H., Bolhuis, H., Stal, L.J., 2015. Drivers of the dynamics of diazotrophs and denitrifiers in North Sea bottom waters and sediments. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 738.
- Figueiredo, M.V.B., Mergulhão, A.E.S., Sobral, J.K., Junio, M.A.L., Araújo, A.S.F., 2013. Biological nitrogen fixation: importance, associated diversity, and estimates. In: Arora, N.K. (Ed.), *Plant Microbe Symbiosis: Fundamentals and Advances*. Springer India, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, p. 267.
- Fustec, J., Lesuffleur, F., Mahieu, S., Cliquet, J.-B., 2010. Nitrogen rhizodeposition of legumes. A review. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 30, 57–66.
- Gaby, J.B., Buckley, D.H., 2011. A global census of nitrogenase diversity. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 1790–1799.
- Geisler, E., Bogler, A., Rahav, E., Bar-Zeev, E., 2019. Direct detection of heterotrophic diazotrophs associated with planktonic aggregates. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 9288–9297.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Sathya, A., Vijayabharathi, R., Varshney, R.K., Gowda, C.L.L., Krishnamurthy, L., 2015. Plant growth promoting rhizobia: challenges and opportunities. *3 Biotech* 5, 355.
- Gopalakrishnan, S., Srinivas, V., Vemula, A., Samineni, S., Rathore, A., 2018. Influence of diazotrophic bacteria on nodulation, nitrogen fixation, growth promotion and yield traits in five cultivars of chickpea. *Biocatal. Agric. Biotechnol.* 15, 35–42.
- Graham, P.H., 1992. Stress tolerance in *Rhizobium* and *Bradyrhizobium*, and nodulation under adverse soil conditions. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 38, 475–484.
- Gyogluu, C., Jaiswal, S.K., Kyei-Boahen, S., Dakora, F.D., 2018. Identification and distribution of microsymbionts associated with soybean nodulation in Mozambican soils. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* 41, 506–515.
- Han, Y., Lu, N., Chen, Q., Zhan, Y., Liu, W., Wei, L., Zhu, B., Lin, M., Yang, Z., Yan, Y., 2015. Interspecies transfer and regulation of *Pseudomonas stutzeri* A1501 nitrogen fixation island in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 25, 1339–1348.
- Haselkorn, R., 2003. Heterocysts. *Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol.* 29, 319–344.
- Higdon, S.M., Pozzo, T., Tibbett, E.J., Chiu, C., Jeannotte, R., Weimer, B.C., et al., 2020a. Diazotrophic bacteria from maize exhibit multifaceted plant growth promotion traits in multiple hosts. *PLoS One* 15 (9), e0239081.
- Higdon, S.M., Pozzo, T., Kong, N., Huang, B., Yang, M.L., Jeannotte, R., et al., 2020b. Genomic characterization of a diazotrophic microbiota associated with maize aerial root mucilage. *bioRxiv* 2020, 1–52. 2020.04.27.064337.
- Hoffman, B.M., Lukoyanov, D., Yang, Z.-Y., Dean, D.R., Seefeldt, L.C., 2014. Mechanism of nitrogen fixation by nitrogenase: the next stage. *Chem. Rev.* 114, 4041–4062.
- Hungria, M., Mendes, I.C., 2015. Nitrogen Fixation With Soybean: The Perfect Symbiosis? *Biological Nitrogen Fixation*. vol. 2 John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA, pp. 1009–1024.
- Hye Jia, S., Gururanib, M.A., Chun, S.-C., 2014. Isolation and characterization of plant growth promoting endophytic diazotrophic bacteria from Korean rice cultivars. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 83–98.
- Ivleva, N.B., Groat, J., Staub, J.M., Stephens, M., 2016. Expression of active subunit of nitrogenase via integration into plant organelle genome. *PLoS One* 11, e0160951.
- Johansson, B.C., Nordlund, S., Baltscheffsky, H., 1983. In: Ormerod, J.G. (Ed.), *The Phototrophic Bacteria: Anaerobic Life in the Light*. Blackwells Science Publications, Oxford, p. 120.
- Kennedy, I.R., Islam, N., 2001. The current and potential contribution of symbiotic nitrogen fixation to nitrogen requirements on farms: a review. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.* 41, 447–457.

- Kereszt, A., Mergaert, P., Montiel, J., Endre, G., Kondorosi, E., 2018. Impact of plant peptides on symbiotic nodule development and functioning. *Front. Plant Sci.* 9, 1026.
- Kondorosi, E., Mergaert, P., Kereszt, A., 2013. A paradigm for endosymbiotic life: cell differentiation of *Rhizobium* bacteria provoked by host plant factors. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 67, 611–628.
- Lambert, G.R., Cantrell, M.A., Hanus, F.J., Russell, S.A., Haddad, K.R., Evans, H.J., 1985. Intra- and interspecies transfer and expression of *Rhizobium japonicum* hydrogen uptake genes and autotrophic growth capability. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 82, 3232–3236.
- Laranjo, M., Alexandra, A., Oliveira, S., 2014. Legume growth-promoting rhizobia: an overview on the *Mesorhizobium* genus. *Microbiol. Res.* 169, 2–17.
- Laskar, F., Sharma, G.D., Deb, B., 2013. Characterization of plant growth promoting traits of diazotrophic bacteria and their inoculating effects on growth and yield of rice crops. *Global Res. Anal.* 2, 3–5.
- Leigh Nitrogen, J.A., 2000. Fixation in methanogens: the archaeal perspective. *Curr. Issues Mol. Biol.* 2, 125–131.
- Lindstrom, K., Mousavi, S.A., 2019. Effectiveness of nitrogen fixation in rhizobia. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 13, 1314–1335.
- Long, S.R., 1989. *Rhizobium* genetics. *Annu. Rev. Genet.* 23, 483–506.
- López-Torrejón, G., Jiménez-Vicente, E., Buesa, J.M., Hernandez, J.A., Verma, H.K., Rubio, L.M., 2016. Expression of a functional oxygen-labile nitrogenase component in the mitochondrial matrix of aerobically grown yeast. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 11426.
- Mahmud, K., Makaju, S., Ibrahim, R., Missaoui, A., 2020. Current progress in nitrogen fixing plants and microbiome research. *Plants* 9, 97.
- Market Data Forecast, 2018. Available online: <https://www.marketdataforecast.com/market-reports/asiapacific-biofertilizers-market>. (Accessed 15 June 2020).
- Mathenge, C., Thuita, M., Masso, C., Gweyi-Onyango, J., Vanlauwe, B., 2019. Variability of soybean response to rhizobia inoculant, vermicompost, and a legume-specific fertilizer blend in Siaya County of Kenya. *Soil Tillage Res.* 194, 104–290.
- Mergaert, P., Nikovics, K., Kelemen, Z., Maunoury, N., Vaubert, D., Kondorosi, A., Kondorosi, E., 2003. A novel family in *Medicago truncatula* consisting of more than 300 nodule-specific genes coding for small, secreted polypeptides with conserved cysteine motifs. *Plant Physiol.* 132, 161–173.
- Munson, T.O., Burris, R.H., 1969. Nitrogen fixation by *Rhodospirillum rubrum* grown in nitrogen limited continuous culture. *J. Bacteriol.* 97, 1093–1098.
- Murray, P.A., Zinder, S.H., 1984. Nitrogen fixation by a methanogenic archaeobacterium. *Nature* 312, 284–286.
- Mus, F., Crook, M.B., Garcia, K., Garcia Costas, A., Geddes, B.A., Kouri, E.D., Paramasivan, P., Ryu, M.-H., Oldroyd, G.E., Poole, P.S., et al., 2016. Symbiotic nitrogen fixation and the challenges to its extension to nonlegumes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 3698–3710.
- Ogawa, R.E., Carr, J.F., 1969. The influence of nitrogen on heterocyst production in blue-green algae. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 14, 342–351.
- Oldroyd, G.E., Dixon, R., 2014. Biotechnological solutions to the nitrogen problem. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* 26, 19–24.
- Oliveira, A.L.M., Canuto, E.L., Reis, V.M., Baldani, J.I., 2003. Response of micropropagated sugarcane varieties to inoculation with endophytic diazotrophic bacteria. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* 34, 59–61.
- Pan, H., Wang, D., 2017. Nodule cysteine-rich peptides maintain a working balance during nitrogen-fixing symbiosis. *Nat. Plants* 3, 17048.
- Pankievicz, V.C.S., do Amaral, F.P., Santos, K.F.D.N., Agtuca, B., Xu, Y., Schueller, M.J., Arisi, A.C.M., Stevens, M.B.R., de Souza, E.M., Pedrosa, F.O., et al., 2015. Robust biological nitrogen fixation in a model grass-bacterial association. *Plant J.* 81, 907–919.

- Pastor-Bueis, R., Sánchez-Cañizares, C., James, E.K., González-Andrés, F., 2019. Formulation of a highly effective inoculant for common bean based on an autochthonous elite strain of *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. *phaseoli*, and genomic-based insights into its agronomic performance. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 2724.
- Pedersen, J.N., Bombar, D., Pearl, R.W., Riemann, L., 2018. Diazotrophs and N₂-fixation associated with particles in coastal estuarine waters. *Front. Microbiol.* 9, 2759.
- Peoples, M.B., Brockwell, J., Herridge, D.F., Rochester, I.J., Alves, B.J.R., Urquiaga, S., Boddey, R.M., Dakora, F.D., Bhattarai, S., Maskey, S.L., et al., 2009. The contributions of nitrogen-fixing crop legumes to the productivity of agricultural systems. *Symbiosis* 48, 1–17.
- Postgate, J., 1992. The Leeuwenhoek lecture 1992: bacterial evolution and the nitrogen-fixing plant. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 338 (1286), 409–416.
- Puri, A., Padda, K.P., Chanway, C.P., 2017. Plant growth promotion by endophytic bacteria in non-native crop hosts. In: Maheshwari, D.K., Annapurna, K. (Eds.), *Endophytes: Crop Productivity and Protection*. Springer International Publishing, Switzerland, pp. 11–45.
- Rao, D.L.N., Balachandar, D., 2017. Nitrogen inputs from biological nitrogen fixation in Indian agriculture. In: Abrol, Y.P. (Ed.), *The Indian Nitrogen Assessment, Sources of Reactive Nitrogen, Environmental and Climate Effects, Management Options, and Policies*. Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 117–132.
- Reis, V.M., 2007. *Uso de Bactérias Fixadoras de Nitrogênio como Inoculante para Aplicação em Gramíneas*. Seropédica: Embrapa Agrobiologia; Embrapa Agrobiologia: Rodovia, Brasília, vol. 232, p. 22.
- Ronner, E., Franke, A., Vanlauwe, B., Dianda, M., Edeh, E., Ukem, B., Giller, K., 2016. Understanding variability in soybean yield and response to P-fertilizer and *Rhizobium* inoculants on farmers' fields in northern Nigeria. *Field Crop Res.* 186, 133–145.
- Rose, M.T., Phuong, T.L., Nhan, D.K., Cong, P.T., Hien, N.T., Kennedy, I.R., 2014. Up to 52% N fertilizer replaced by biofertilizer in lowland rice via farmer participatory research. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.* 34, 857–868.
- Saumare, A., Diedhiou, A.D., Thuita, M., Hafidi, M., Ouhdouch, Y., Gopalakrishnan, S., Kouisni, L., 2020. Exploiting biological nitrogen fixation: a route towards a sustainable agriculture. *Plants* 9, 1011–1033.
- Seefeldt, L.C., Yang, Z.Y., Lukoyanov, D.A., Harris, D.F., Dean, D.R., Raugei, S., Hoffman, B.M., 2020. Reduction of substrates by nitrogenases. *Chem* 120 (12), 5082–5106. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00556>.
- Serna-Cock, L., Arias-García, C., Valencia Hernandez, L.J., 2011. Effect of biofertilization on the growth of potted sugarcane plants (*Saccharum officinarum*). *Rev. Biol. Agroind.* 9, 85–95.
- Singh, I., 2018. Plant growth promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) and their various mechanisms for plant growth enhancement in stressful conditions: a review. *Eur. J. Biol. Res.* 8, 191–213.
- Swarnalakshmi, K., Vandana, Y., Senthilkumar, M., Dolly, W.D., 2016. Biofertilizers for higher pulse production in India: scope, accessibility and challenges. *Indian J. Agron.* 61, 173–181.
- Thuita, M., Vanlauwe, B., Mutegi, E., Masso, C., 2018. Reducing spatial variability of soybean response to rhizobia inoculants in farms of variable soil fertility in Siaya Country of West Kenya. *Biol. Fertil. Soils* 261, 153–160.
- Ulzen, J., Abaidoo, R.C., Mensah, N.E., Masso, C., AbdelGadir, A.H., 2016. Bradyrhizobium inoculants enhance grain yields of soybean and cowpea in Northern Ghana. *Front. Plant Sci.* 7, 1770.
- van Deynze, A., Zamora, P., Delaux, P.-M., Heitmann, C., Jayaraman, D., Rajasekar, S., Graham, D., Maeda, J., Gibson, D., Schwartz, K.D., et al., 2018. Nitrogen fixation in a landrace of maize is supported by a mucilage-associated diazotrophic microbiota. *PLoS Biol.* 16, e2006352.

- van Heerwaarden, J., Baijukya, F., Kyei-Boahen, S., Adjei-Nsiah, S., Ebanyat, P., Kamai, N., Wolde-meskel, E., Kanampiu, F., Vanlauwe, B., Giller, K., 2018. Soyabean response to *Rhizobium* inoculation across sub-Saharan Africa: patterns of variation and the role of promiscuity. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 261, 211–218.
- van Velzen, R., Holmer, R., Bu, F., Rutten, L., van Zeijl, A., Liu, W., Santuari, L., Cao, Q., Sharma, T., Shen, D., et al., 2018. Comparative genomics of the nonlegume *Parasponia* reveals insights into evolution of nitrogen-fixing rhizobium symbioses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, 4700–4709.
- Vicente, E.J., Dean, D.R., 2017. Keeping the nitrogen-fixation dream alive. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 114, 3009–3011.
- Videau, P., Rivers, O.S., Hurd, K., Ushijima, B., Oshiro, R.T., Ende, R.J., O'Hanlon, S.M., Cozy, L.M., 2016. The heterocyst regulatory protein HetP and its homologs modulate heterocyst commitment in *Anabaena* sp. strain PCC 7120. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, E6984–E6992.
- Vitousek, P.M., Menge, D.N.L., Reed, S.C., Cleveland, C.C., 2013. Biological nitrogen fixation: rates, patterns and ecological controls in terrestrial ecosystems. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 368, 1621.
- von Friesen, L.W., Riemann, L., 2020. Nitrogen fixation in a changing arctic ocean: an overlooked source of nitrogen? *Front. Microbiol.* 11, 596426.
- Wardhani, T.A., Roswanjaya, Y.P., Dupin, S., Li, H., Linders, S., Hartog, M., Geurts, R., van Zeijl, A., 2019. Transforming, genome editing and phenotyping the nitrogen-fixing tropical cannabaceae tree *Parasponia andersonii*. *J. Vis. Exp.* 150, e59971.
- William, S., Akiko, A., 2020. History of Soybeans and Soyfoods in Eastern Europe (Including All of Russia) (1783–2020): Extensively Annotated Bibliography and Sourcebook. Soyinfo Center, Lafayette, CA, USA. ISBN1 1948436175.
- Willis, A., Adams, M.P., Chuang, A.W., Orr, P.T., O'Brien, K.R., Burford, M.A., 2015. Constitutive toxin production under various nitrogen and phosphorus regimes of three ecotypes of *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* ((Woloszyńska) Seenayya et Subba Raju). *Harmful Algae* 47, 27–34.
- Wojciechowski, M.F., Matt Lavin, I., Sanderson, M.J., 2004. A phylogeny of legumes (Leguminosae) based on analysis of the plastid *matK* gene resolves many well-supported subclades within the family. *Am. J. Bot.* 91 (11), 1846–1862.
- Yonebayashi, K., Katsumi, N., Nishi, T., Okazaki, M., 2014. Activation of nitrogen-fixing endophytes is associated with the tuber growth of sweet potato. *Mass Spectrom (Tokyo)* 3, A0032.
- Zulkefli, N.S., Hwang, S.-J., 2020. Heterocyst development and diazotrophic growth of *Anabaena variabilis* under different nitrogen availability. *Life* 10, 279.
- Zuluaga, M.Y.A., Lima Milani, K.M., Azeredo Goncalves, L.S., Martinez de Oliveira, A.L., 2020. Diversity and plant growth-promoting functions of diazotrophic/N-scavenging bacteria isolated from the soils and rhizospheres of two species of *Solanum*. *PLoS One* 15 (1), e0227422.